



# Security By Design

Maturity Model for  
DevSecOps

[kakugo.ch](https://kakugo.ch)







## Security by Design

Security by Design embeds security principles early in the IT system development, reducing breach risks, costs of later fixes, and ensuring regulatory compliance.

## Benefits

### Reduce costs

By not creating vulnerabilities in the first place, therefore avoiding incidents, additional communication and more.

### Reduce risks

By reducing the potential impact of a security breach, including financial loss, downtime, and damage to reputation.

### Speed Time-to-Market

Secure systems enable businesses to nimbly adapt to market changes and innovate confidently, knowing systems are safe.



# Security by Design Maturity Levels

Level	Name	Resources	Pros	Cons
-------	------	-----------	------	------



0

Not initiated

1

Policy Only

Checklists and Company Wiki

Provide directions

High friction; Less chance of being adopted; Non dev-friendly

2

Manual without process

Threat modeling specialist

Contextual assessment; tailored risks and controls

Non-reproducible; Very time-consuming

3

Manual with process

Threat modeling specialist + Playbook

Reproducible process

Very time-consuming

4

Automated

Threat modeling specialist + devops.security

High-quality risks, controls and requirements identification

Despite taking less time it doesn't scale yet

5

Scaled

Developer (Security champion) + devops.security

Security is not a bottleneck anymore

Setup time





## Policy Only

Security requirements can be found on checklists handed to developers or company wiki.

It's the starting point to assist developers with security revised checklists.

It's also helpful during procurement to request a given list of requirements to be fulfilled.

## Manual without process

A security consultant (internal or external) performs a brainstorming together with the development tech lead to identify threats and ultimately security controls to mitigate relevant threats.

The brainstorm is usually based on the STRIDE framework and the outputs depend highly on the consultant knowledge and the ability of the tech lead to describe the application.

## Manual with process

A security consultant (internal or external) follows a threat modeling playbook with the development tech lead to identify threats and ultimately security controls to mitigate relevant threats.

The playbook includes guidance on what questions to ask, which tools to use and when. The output highly depends on the quality of the playbook.

## Resources

- OWASP Application Security Verification Standard (ASVS) contains general requirements for applications <https://threatmodeling.fyi/posts/policy-only/>

## Resources

- Microsoft Threat Modeling Tool to draw diagrams and identify threats automatically
- Draw diagrams using tools listed on <https://threatmodeling.fyi/posts/manual-without-process/>

## Resources

- Step-by-step threat modeling process (also explains STRIDE)
- Step-by-step threat modeling using PASTA
- Resources can be found at <https://threatmodeling.fyi/posts/manual-with-process/>



### Automated

A security consultant using a threat modeling tool such as <https://ds.kakugo.ch> describes the application and the threat modeling is automatically generated.

The process is reproducible and requires less specific knowledge from the consultant but more quality of the tool.

### Resources

- devops.security by Kakugo GmbH  
<https://ds.kakugo.ch>

### Scaled

A developer, after going through a training to become a Security Champion uses the threat modeling tool such as <https://ds.kakugo.ch> to describe the application.

This process enforces security requirements from the beginning, produces secure products and prevents vulnerabilities from being created.

### Resources

- Setting up a Security Champion program for developers <https://threatmodeling.fyi/posts/scaled/>

# Questions?



**hello@kakugo.ch**